IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 4710 OF 2005

Dr. M. S. Ghawte ..Petitioner

versus

The Municipal Commissioner
Mumbai Mahanagar Palika & Anr. ..Respondents

None for the Petitioner.

Shri A. J. Bhor, Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri Y. D. Mulani, AGP for the Respondent No.2.

CORAM : R.M.S. KHANDEPARKAR &
NARESH H. PATIL, JJ.

DATE : 24TH AUGUST, 2006

P.C. :

1. None present for the Petitioner. Heard learned Advocates for the Respondents.

2. The petitioners grievance relate to failure on the part of the respondents to consider the representation dated 23.2.2004 made by the petitioner. The affidavit in reply along with Annexures filed on behalf of the Corporation clearly discloses that the concerned Authorities have in

accordance with the provisions of law have disposed of the representation.

3. The contention of the petitioners that the Order passed by the Authority relates to some other representation, is totally devoid of substance. In fact, though there were two representations made, they related to the same grievance of the petitioners. Indeed even the proceedings for contempt initiated by the Petitioners were dismissed after taking into consideration the fact the Corporation has already complied with the directions issued by this Court vide order dated 13.2.2004. Being so, there is absolutely no substance in the petition. Petition is accordingly rejected.

(R.M.S. KHANDEPARKAR, J.)

(NARESH H. PATIL, J.)